Support Document SD-02 - Series Master Glossary

Part 3 (Sections 3a–3c): The Epistemic Method | Consolidated controlled vocabulary | Source-locked | Issue 1

Control field	Value
Document ID	TEM-P3-3A3B3C-SD02-GLOSSARY
Version / issue	Issue 1 - aligned to the Issue 1 paper sequence
Date	2026-09-24
Status	Controlled Part 3 support document aligned to the eight Issue 1 canonical papers, GOT-V1-3a-1 through GOT-V1-3c-8. Secondary to the canonical papers.
Supersedes	Glossary - Issue 1 - Formatted.docx. This content reconciliation preserves the eight-paper sequence and updates source identities, ownership, locators, and support dependencies. Earlier release history remains recoverable in that source copy.
Function	Records controlled definitions, canonical homes, relation notes, and use guardrails across Section 3a, 3b, and 3c. No new concepts are introduced.
Canonical rule	Exact technical definitions remain in the owning canonical paper. This glossary compresses and cross-indexes; it does not redefine.
Internal split rule	Section 3a, Section 3b, and Section 3c remain distinct canonical regions inside one Part-level support control. Downstream papers may inherit and apply upstream architecture but do not retroactively redefine it.
Source-lock rule	Use only the eight current Part 3 papers for Part 3 vocabulary. Upstream Part 1/2 controls may define inherited terms but may not be expanded here.
Template rule	Retain the established support-document layout and control schema. Earlier support copies retain historical evidence; the eight Issue 1 papers govern current content. Parts 1 and 2 remain inherited-control references only.

0. Status, function, and source discipline

A term is permitted only when its discriminator conditions remain visible. Terms naming closure, unknown, power, responsibility, trust, warrant, formalisation, governance, method-use, certification, compliance, or authority must not carry strength beyond the recoverable conditions in the owning paper.

0.1 Canonical source-lock register

Paper keys 3a-1 to 3c-8 abbreviate the full GOT-V1 identifiers below. Section locators refer to the numbered headings in the Issue 1 papers; endnote locators use their Arabic note numbers. SHA16 records the first 16 hexadecimal characters of SHA-256.

Seq.	Current canonical source	Canonical paper	Canonical ownership / use
GOT-V1-3a-1	GOT-V1-3a-1 - The Gödel Horizon - Issue 1.docx | sha16 44a8b20a68541a55	The Gödel Horizon	Horizon grammar: relational availability; availability/closure boundary conditions; Soft Horizon; Gödel Horizon; Procedural Availability Horizon; coupled loci; abstraction boundaries; comparator and stabilisation discipline.
GOT-V1-3a-2	GOT-V1-3a-2 - The Discipline of the Unknown - Issue 1.docx | sha16 04d3becc2b5a5029	The Discipline of the Unknown	Unknown-statuses; residual; positive exclusion; live formulations; Best Available Formulation; Weighted Residual; action threshold, safeguard, monitoring, stop condition, update, and branch-memory.

Seq.	Current canonical source	Canonical paper	Canonical ownership / use
GOT-V1-3b-3	GOT-V1-3b-3 - On the Origin of Power - Issue 1.docx | sha16 d6d961fbb277a77c	On the Origin of Power	Primitive Power as IPL-indexed distinction-conditioned branch potential; Counterfactual Inclusion Field; potential/application/consequence separation; Counterfactual Exclusion; thermodynamic grounding.
GOT-V1-3b-4	GOT-V1-3b-4 - On the Origin of Responsibility - Issue 1.docx | sha16 ef17be5480bc49e7	On the Origin of Responsibility	Primitive Responsibility as locus-indexed attribution; Responsibility Provenance; distributed and temporal provenance; Responsibility Gradient; Immediate-Frame Principle; Responsibility Warrant.
GOT-V1-3b-5	GOT-V1-3b-5 - On the Origin of Trust - Issue 1.docx | sha16 d8a68ac35982e5a0	On the Origin of Trust	Trust as reliance closure under residual; primitive audit; branch-space economy; trust thresholds; vicarious trust; Epistemic Authority and the minimum Structural Authority distinction (§8); trust carriers; laundering; betrayal, repair, and formalisation handoff.
GOT-V1-3c-6	GOT-V1-3c-6 - The Case for Formalisation - Issue 1.docx | sha16 b4d11bd226838155	The Case for Formalisation	Load-bearing language; recoverable authority; distinct epistemic grounding and structural authorisation (§1); epistemic debt; institutions and machines; formalisation as preservation; auditable claim packet; proportional formalisation; retrospective review.
GOT-V1-3c-7	GOT-V1-3c-7 - The Constitutional Governance of Formalisation - Issue 1.docx | sha16 a3540c539c730360	The Constitutional Governance of Formalisation	Governance of formalisation; constitutional/technical/application levels; application of Epistemic Authority inherited from 3b-5; custodial and operational applications of Structural Authority (§6); amendment, forks, certification, and capture controls.
GOT-V1-3c-8	GOT-V1-3c-8 - The Epistemic Method - Issue 1.docx | sha16 dc08048b02787be4	The Epistemic Method	Integrated relational audit of claim-strength and reliance-strength; indexed relation; claim and reliance paths; comparator, residual, reliance limit; preferential reliance and carrier-path preservation (§6); conditional Power/Responsibility audits; method-compliance is not closure.

0.2 Upstream inherited-control references

Control ID	Current source	Permitted use
P1-SD01	Part 1 - Concept Compression Map - Issue 1.docx | sha16 a256c5229849f993	Upstream architecture compression for NTC, BD, IPL, DTS, life-threshold and localisation discipline.
P1-SD02	Part 1 - Glossary - Issue 1.docx | sha16 e97712ced4e2b095	Upstream controlled vocabulary for inherited Part 1 terms.
P1-SD03	Part 1 - Guardrail and Failure-Mode Register - Issue 1.docx | sha16 794360cc1ff16519	Upstream comparator, localisation, source-lock, and anti-inflation guardrails.
P1-SD04	Part 1 - Bibliography and Relation Register - Issue 1.docx | sha16 7b0b194c1552979b	Upstream bibliographic relation-control reference only; no citation transfer into Part 3.

Control ID	Current source	Permitted use
P2-SD01	Part 2 - Concept Compression Map - Issue 1.docx | sha16 eee99287e2cfaa10	Upstream control for RRP, signal, OP/OA/OR/RAS/RSD, knowledge, intelligence, observation, abstraction, scale, and observation-program architecture.
P2-SD02	Part 2 - Glossary - Issue 1.docx | sha16 b144e7dc2eac7133	Upstream controlled vocabulary for inherited informational and observational terms.
P2-SD03	Part 2 - Guardrail and Failure-Mode Register - Issue 1.docx | sha16 f955341321f11d30	Upstream failure-control for local locus, information/signal, observation, abstraction, scale, and descriptor discipline.
P2-SD04	Part 2 - Bibliography and Relation Register - Issue 1.docx | sha16 12dc458d0e940e8c	Upstream bibliographic relation-control reference only; no citation transfer into Part 3.
Protocol	Historical reference: GOT - Bibliographic Identification Classification and Adjacency Discovery Protocol - Issue 1(1).docx | sha16 03dec29bfa52830c	Retained classification context from the prior support release. This protocol file is not in the current supplied control set; its recorded hash is historical and is not represented as a newly verified source. Existing classifications are retained, with no new promotion of inheritance.

0.3 Part-level support-control chain

Control ID	Current control file	Permitted use
P3-SD01	Concept Compression Map - Issue 1.docx | sha16 874e44c76caf3061	Architecture compression and source-discipline control. Secondary to canonical papers.

Excluded source class: superseded Part 3 paper revisions as current identities; contextual essay-series material; downstream extension proposals; prior generated answers; unverified or unadmitted external material; and any later Part 3 concept not present in the current eight-paper canonical sequence. Bibliographic recurrence does not create technical inheritance.

SD-01, sections 9–10, preserves the identity migration and the deferred Toolkit update control. The eight-paper lock remains active; the future Toolkit does not yet supply a Part 3 definition, guardrail, or bibliography entry.

1. Canonical ownership map

Section	Owner	Controlled region	Guardrail
3a	3a-1	Relational availability, horizon grammar, Soft Horizon, Gödel Horizon, procedural availability, stabilisation.	Do not turn every limit into Gödel diagnosis or every provisional closure into warrant.
3a	3a-2	Unknown-status, residual, positive exclusion, live formulations, weighting, BAF, action under residual, update.	Do not flatten unknowns into one blank or convert action threshold into truth threshold.

Section	Owner	Controlled region	Guardrail
3b	3b-3	Primitive Power, CIF, potential/application/consequence, CE, cumulative branch effect.	Do not infer Power from force, authority, success, imagined alternatives, or causation alone.
3b	3b-4	Primitive Responsibility, Provenance, Gradient, Immediate Frame, Warrant, distributed/temporal attribution.	Do not collapse provenance into role, blame, legal liability, proximity, or exterior hindsight.
3b	3b-5	Primitive Trust, primitive audit, reliance closure, carrier, threshold, vicarious trust, Epistemic Authority, minimum Structural Authority, laundering, betrayal/repair.	Trust makes standing operative without creating it. Epistemic standing and structural permission remain distinct; Structural Authority development stays in Volume 2.
3c	3c-6	Load-bearing language, epistemic debt, formalisation, auditable claim packet, proportionality, retrospective review.	Do not treat forms, machine execution, documentation, or auditability as relation-preservation by default.
3c	3c-7	Governance levels; inherited Epistemic Authority; custodial and operational applications of Structural Authority; amendment, forks, release/certification/capture controls.	No steward, institution, vote, certificate, release, or implementation creates epistemic strength.
3c	3c-8	Relational audit, claim/reliance strength, indexed relation, path recovery, non-auditability, integrated outputs.	The integrated method does not erase local discriminators; method-compliance is not closure.

Section 3a - Horizon and Unknown Discipline

Term	Controlled definition	Canonical home / type	Relationship and guardrail
Relational availability	Status of a target relation for a specified locus under stated access conditions before closure is presumed.	3a-1 | Foundational relation	Availability is not existence, registration, knowledge, warrant, or closure.
Availability condition	Specified condition under which a relation can become available to the locus.	3a-1 | Access	Keep boundary, interface, scale, horizon, discrimination, abstraction, and path conditions visible.
Closure demand	The kind and strength of resolution the claim requires: proof, explanation, classification, action, measurement, etc.	3a-1 | Claim status	No horizon diagnosis without the closure demand being stated.
Horizon	Locus-relative boundary in relational availability and closure.	3a-1 | Boundary	Not an object, place, ontological zone, or generic mystery.

Term	Controlled definition	Canonical home / type	Relationship and guardrail
Soft Horizon	Provisional, purpose-indexed closure under residual.	3a-1 | Finite continuation	Legitimate only while scope, residual, tolerance/comparator, and update remain attached.
Gödel Horizon	Boundary where relation is available or formulable under a closure demand while the present abstraction regime discloses that it cannot supply closure from its own current resources.	3a-1 | Boundary disclosure	Do not generalise incompleteness into a claim that everything is undecidable.
Procedural Availability Horizon	Condition where an abstraction can state what would count, but the realised process/evidence/instrument/path needed to bring the relation into comparison or closure is unavailable.	3a-1 | Availability-side horizon	Describability is not realised availability.
Misclosure	Closure assigned under a pattern or claim path that does not preserve the target relation strongly enough for the demand being made.	3a-1/3a-2 | Failure	Correction must recover what was available, omitted, or overclaimed.
Latent abstraction boundary	Limit generated by the abstraction regime that may remain invisible until residual, anomaly, comparison, or failure exposes it.	3a-1 | Abstraction boundary	Do not treat a stable category as evidence that its cut is complete.
Counterfactual Comparison Discipline	Requirement that closure strength be tested against relevant alternative formulations or comparator cases.	3a-1 | Method	Epistemic comparator alternatives are not automatically causal CIF branches.
Stabilisation	Process by which one closure, model, pattern, or branch becomes operationally dominant.	3a-1/3a-2 | Dynamics	Stabilisation may be earned or may hide lost alternatives.
Excluded branch-space	Alternatives no longer live or visible after stabilisation.	3a-1 | Branch memory	Distinguish earned exclusion from forgetting, suppression, non-formulation, or path loss.
Unknown-status	Typed unresolved relation indexed to locus, availability condition, and closure demand.	3a-2 | Status	Unknown is not one undifferentiated absence.
Unavailable unknown	Relation outside the present access regime.	3a-2 | Unknown-status	Use access humility; do not infer non-existence.
Available-but-unrecognised unknown	Relation has entered access but has not been stabilised as identity/closure.	3a-2 | Unknown-status	Preserve availability without forcing nearest-pattern closure.
Misclosed unknown	Relation treated as closed under a pattern that later fails or is inadequately grounded.	3a-2 | Unknown-status	Retain correction path and branch-memory.
Residual	Localised remainder left by an unmet closure condition, including what stronger claim is blocked and what would force update.	3a-2 | Residual	Residual is not mysticism, generic uncertainty, or a decorative caveat.
Positive exclusion	Recorded reason a candidate formulation no longer remains live under the stated comparator and evidence conditions.	3a-2 | Branch discipline	Do not confuse excluded alternatives with merely forgotten or unconsidered ones.

Term	Controlled definition	Canonical home / type	Relationship and guardrail
Live formulation field	Set of currently admissible formulations remaining live under the indexed conditions.	3a-2 | Alternative field	Not identical to the physically supported CIF of an IPL.
Live Field Contraction	Earned reduction of the live formulation field with reasons and branch-memory preserved.	3a-2 | Update	Contraction is not closure unless the closure demand is also satisfied.
Best Available Formulation (BAF)	Strongest currently supportable formulation for a stated purpose while residual remains explicit.	3a-2 | Soft Horizon	Best available does not mean true, final, or uniquely exhaustive.
Weighted Residual	Structured remainder retaining differential support, exclusions, uncertainty, and update obligations.	3a-2 | Residual	Weighting does not require false numerical precision.
Actionable residual	Residual under which action is required or justified before stronger closure is available.	3a-2 | Action	Action threshold is not truth threshold.
Action threshold	Condition at which action becomes warranted for the stated purpose despite residual.	3a-2 | Decision	Keep consequence-sensitive action separate from epistemic closure.
Safeguard	Constraint limiting harm or overreach when acting under incomplete closure.	3a-2 | Action control	Material where reversibility, exposure, or affected-locus risk requires it.
Monitoring condition	Specified observation required while an action or Soft Horizon remains in force.	3a-2 | Update	Monitoring must be capable of triggering revision.
Stop condition	Condition requiring suspension, withdrawal, escalation, or reversal.	3a-2 | Action control	Do not treat continuation as evidence the original closure was correct.
Update trigger	Recoverable condition that requires the formulation, closure, threshold, or action to be revisited.	3a-2 | Corrigibility	No provisional closure without a route by which it can move.
Stabilisation Bias	Retrospective distortion by which the realised branch makes earlier alternatives or uncertainty appear weaker than they were.	3a-2 | Failure	Preserve what was live and knowable at the relevant time.
Branch-memory	Recoverable record of live, excluded, unavailable, and revised alternatives and the reasons for change.	3a-2 | Audit memory	Required to prevent hindsight reconstruction from erasing the live field.

Section 3b - Power, Responsibility, and Trust

Term	Controlled definition	Canonical home / type	Relationship and guardrail
Primitive Power	Indexed potential of an IPL to alter downstream branch-realisation through organisation-mediated discriminable distinctions under stated conditions.	3b-3 | Causal potential	Force, office, permission, success, or causation alone does not establish Power. The discriminator remains IPL-indexed distinction-conditioned branch potential (3b-3 §§11–12).
Power Relation	Relation among IPL, operative distinctions, CIF, comparator family, reference conditions, and branch consequence.	3b-3 | Audit relation	Specify the physically grounded path; do not infer from labels.

Term	Controlled definition	Canonical home / type	Relationship and guardrail
Counterfactual Inclusion Field (CIF)	Locus-indexed field of downstream branch relations physically included within an IPL's distinction-conditioned potential under stated conditions.	3b-3 | Possibility field	Exterior imagination does not add branches to the CIF.
Power potential	Branch-changing capacity supported before present application.	3b-3 | Potential	Consequence demonstrates potential but does not create it retrospectively.
Power application	Use of an operative distinction through the power-bearing organisation.	3b-3 | Realisation	Application is distinct from possession of potential and from downstream evaluation.
Power consequence	Counterfactually recoverable downstream difference produced by application.	3b-3 | Consequence	Magnitude or success does not define the primitive.
Counterfactual Exclusion (CE)	Negative side of a realised distinction-conditioned branch event: a relevant included alternative is not equivalently realised.	3b-3 | Realisation	Not conscious rejection, metaphysical deletion, or generic elimination of possibilities.
Cumulative Power	Horizon-relative trajectory bias accumulated through repeated branch-changing events.	3b-3 | Dynamics	Individual applications need not deterministically fix the final outcome.
Primitive Responsibility	Locus-indexed attribution of consequential branch-relation dependence to distinction-conditioned organisation.	3b-4 | Attribution	Not first blame, guilt, duty, legal liability, intention, or role.
Responsibility Provenance	Recoverable path of responsibility-bearing distinction-conditioned transformations that materially condition a consequence.	3b-4 | Provenance	Subset of causal provenance; does not simply transfer between loci.
Distributed Responsibility Provenance	Responsibility-bearing path distributed across multiple local transformations and loci.	3b-4 | Provenance	Do not collapse a graph into one responsible noun or conserved scalar.
Temporal Responsibility	Attribution across origin, retention, redeployment, maintenance, and update over time.	3b-4 | Temporal attribution	Earlier origin does not imply permanent ownership of later consequence.
Field-conditioning responsibility	Attributable material change to another locus's CIF through maintained, withheld, exposed, narrowed, or otherwise governed power-bearing conditions.	3b-4 | Attribution	Responsibility need not wait for CE where the possibility field itself is consequentially conditioned.
Co-realisation incompatibility	Relation in which branches in different locus-indexed CIFs cannot be equivalently realised under shared physical conditions.	3b-4 | Potential relation	Not itself CE because no branch need yet be realised.
Responsibility-relevant capacity	Range/depth of discrimination, retention, abstraction, comparison, projection, correction, residual, control, and power-governance operations relevant to attribution.	3b-4 | Capacity	Do not replace with generic complexity.
Responsibility Gradient	Ordinal relational increase in breadth/depth of responsibility-relevant capacity and recoverable causal contribution.	3b-4 | Gradient	Not a universal scalar moral score.

Term	Controlled definition	Canonical home / type	Relationship and guardrail
Immediate-Frame Principle	Responsibility is evaluated against relations materially available or recoverable to the locus under the relevant time and operating conditions.	3b-4 | Temporal/frame discipline	Blocks both exterior hindsight over-attribution and retrospective self-laundering.
Responsibility Warrant	Social, moral, legal, organisational, or institutional allocation of answerability, duty, burden, liability, or decision ownership.	3b-4 | Allocation	May be legitimate without being Responsibility Provenance.
Primitive Trust	Reliance closure under residual by which a finite locus reduces repeated audit enough to continue.	3b-5 | Reliance	Trust is not certainty, proof, belief, moral goodness, or automatic warrant.
Primitive audit	Relation-revalidation that reopens a backgrounded trust relation when mismatch, stakes, anomaly, or changed condition makes reduced audit insufficient.	3b-5 | Correction	Trust and audit are complementary, not opposites.
Reliance closure	Purpose-indexed closure sufficient for dependence, continuation, delegation, inference, or action.	3b-5 | Soft Horizon	Must carry residual and update.
Branch-space economy	Reduction in repeated checking work that lets a finite locus navigate dependencies.	3b-5 | Function	Efficiency does not create epistemic strength.
Trust threshold	Condition at which reliance is satisfactory enough for the relying locus's closure demand.	3b-5 | Threshold	Need not be probabilistic or interpersonal.
Trust relation	Indexed relation among relying locus, target relation, carrier, closure demand, residual, threshold, safeguard where relevant, and update.	3b-5 | Architecture	Trust is always trust of some relation under conditions.
Trust carrier	Person, role, record, credential, procedure, institution, instrument, model, interface, certificate, standard, archive, platform, or machine output through which reliance-strength travels.	3b-5 | Carrier	Carrier is not trust ground by default.
Vicarious trust	Reliance in which another locus or carrier's closure process satisfies part of the relying locus's closure demand.	3b-5 | Outsourced closure	Borrowed closure carries residual and does not transfer responsibility provenance.
Borrowed closure	Closure-work performed elsewhere and relied upon locally.	3b-5 | Reliance	The relying locus must retain scope and reopening conditions.
Epistemic Authority	Defeasible standing of a claim, distinction, or closure-process to guide judgement or reliance, earned through demonstrated preservation of a specified target relation under stated availability conditions and closure demand.	3b-5 §8 | Epistemic standing	Preferential reliance between loci requires demonstrated advantage for a shared target relation and comparable closure demand, preserved through the carrier path. Relative advantage may remain insufficient for use. Trust, confidence, permission, or consequence does not create the standing.

Term	Controlled definition	Canonical home / type	Relationship and guardrail
Structural Authority	Permission or mandate to act or decide within a specified scope, conferred or recognised by an organised information-processing structure.	3b-5 §8 | Minimum authority distinction	Structural Authority does not establish Epistemic Authority, and Epistemic Authority does not itself confer Structural Authority. Further development belongs to Volume 2; 3c-7 §6 applies the distinction to custody and domain use.
Trust laundering	Transfer of reliance-strength from a trusted carrier or context to a relation that did not earn equivalent closure.	3b-5 | Failure	A mark, role, institution, or certificate cannot spend trust beyond its grounding.
Distrust	Active withholding or reduction of reliance because the relevant closure demand is not satisfied.	3b-5 | Reliance status	Not identical to absence of trust.
Mistrust	Reliance relation distorted by an inaccurate or misclosed model of the target/carrier.	3b-5 | Failure	Can be over-trust or under-trust depending on the relation.
Betrayal	Agency/norm-sensitive trust failure where relied-upon relation is violated under conditions carrying vulnerability or obligation.	3b-5 | Mature trust failure	Stronger than primitive trust failure.
Trust repair	Restoration, narrowing, or refusal of reduced audit through correction of the failed relation and its safeguards/update conditions.	3b-5 | Repair	Reassurance alone is not repair.

Section 3c - Formalisation, Governance, and the Integrated Method

Term	Controlled definition	Canonical home / type	Relationship and guardrail
Load-bearing language	Language or category doing consequential work inside a decision, classification, governance, enforcement, allocation, certification, or machine path.	3c-6 | Trigger	Ordinary language need not be universally formalised.
Semantic drift	Change in the relation carried by a term while the term remains apparently stable.	3c-6 | Failure	Drift becomes dangerous when authority remains attached to an outdated closure.
Load-bearing drift	Migration of a term/category across consequential contexts without its grounding conditions.	3c-6 | Failure	Authority must not outrun relation-preservation.
Epistemic debt	Deferred grounding cost created when load-bearing claims or linguistic closures circulate as if their grounding burden had already been paid.	3c-6 | Liability	Debt returns as opacity, misclassification, audit failure, or inability to update.
Recoverable authority	Authority whose operative relation and grounding conditions can be reconstructed and audited.	3c-6 | Control	Keep the evidence path supporting Epistemic Authority distinct from any Structural Authority governing its use (3c-6 §1).

Term	Controlled definition	Canonical home / type	Relationship and guardrail
Formalisation	Discipline of making load-bearing claim/reliance conditions recoverable at a level proportionate to consequence.	3c-6 | Method	Not freezing all language or replacing judgement with rules.
Auditable claim packet	Recoverable structure containing relation, locus, availability, closure demand, abstraction, evidence/record/carrier paths, comparator, residual, threshold, safeguard, and update as material.	3c-6 | Technical structure	Completion is not closure; packet fields are not proof.
Proportional formalisation	Formalisation burden scaled to consequence, exposure, contestability, reliance, reversibility, and audit need.	3c-6 | Proportionality	Do not impose maximum formalisation on every ordinary claim.
Claim path	Recoverable path by which a claim acquires its present content and strength.	3c-6/3c-8 | Audit path	Keep distinct from reliance path.
Reliance path	Recoverable path by which one locus relies on a claim, carrier, closure process, or output.	3c-6/3c-8 | Audit path	Reliance-strength may differ from claim-strength.
Evidence path	Recoverable relation from evidence source through transformations to the claim.	3c-6/3c-8 | Audit path	Evidence presence does not settle adequacy or warrant.
Record path	Recoverable production, retention, transformation, and use of a record.	3c-6/3c-8 | Audit path	Record provenance is not warrant by default.
Carrier path	Recoverable movement of claim/reliance strength through persons, roles, records, procedures, institutions, models, or machines.	3c-6/3c-8 | Audit path	A demonstrated advantage at the contributing locus must survive the carrier path for preferential reliance to remain supported (3b-5 §8; 3c-8 §6).
Non-auditability	Condition where a load-bearing relation/path cannot be recovered strongly enough for the closure demand being made.	3c-6/3c-8 | Output	Non-auditability may require claim weakening, refusal, action limits, or update obligations.
Adaptive application	Domain-specific use of the method under local conditions without altering the technical or proposed constitutional burdens.	3c-7 | Governance level	Local adaptation is not amendment.
Current technical formalisation	Present auditable structures used to implement proposed constitutional burdens.	3c-7 | Governance level	Technical form may change if relation-preservation is demonstrated.
Proposed constitutional elements	Highest-level proposed burdens intended to govern how the method remains relation-preserving and corrigible.	3c-7 | Governance level	The word constitutional does not make an element immune to demonstrated failure.
Custodial authority	Application of Structural Authority to stewardship of releases, archives, amendment histories, dissent, residual registers, provenance, and fork comparisons.	3c-7 §6 | Governance application; inherits 3b-5 §8	Stable custody preserves recoverability and correction; it does not establish epistemic standing or ownership of validity.
Operational authority	Application of Structural Authority within a particular institution or domain under its mandate and operating conditions.	3c-7 §6 | Governance application; inherits 3b-5 §8	Domain use and permission do not validate the method or a decision; the relevant relation-preserving burden remains.

Term	Controlled definition	Canonical home / type	Relationship and guardrail
Demonstrated relation-preservation	Burden showing that a proposed formulation preserves the relevant target relation more strongly under stated conditions and comparator pressure.	3c-7 | Amendment test	Revision must state failure class, reduced residual, introduced residual, and its own failure conditions.
Competitive fork	Explicit rival formalisation developed under retained comparison, provenance, residual, and update conditions.	3c-7 | Corrigibility	A fork is not valid merely because it exists or is popular.
Best Available Formalisation	Strongest current technical formalisation for the stated governance purpose while residual remains explicit.	3c-7 | Soft Horizon	Release status is provisional, not ownership.
Certification closure	Operational reliance closure attached to a certification result.	3c-7 | Governance carrier	Certificate must stay limited to what was actually assessed and remain reopenable.
Constitutional-label capture	Failure in which the label constitutional shields a method element from correction rather than increasing its burden of justification.	3c-7 | Capture	Higher level means higher correction burden, not immunity.
Epistemic Method	Integrated discipline of relational audit under finite conditions.	3c-8 | Integrated method	Not a theory of everything, universal decision procedure, or substitute for domain expertise.
Relational audit	Audit of whether a claim or reliance closure preserves the relation it purports to carry under indexed conditions.	3c-8 | Method	Audit strength follows recoverable relation, not form completion.
Indexed relation	Target relation stated with locus, availability, boundary, scale, horizon, abstraction, and closure demand sufficient to make the claim evaluable.	3c-8 | Audit input	No claim from nowhere.
Relational uncertainty	Uncertainty about whether a claim, closure, reliance relation, or Soft Horizon preserves the relation it purports to carry under indexed conditions.	3c-8 §3 | Explicit audit formulation; used in 3b-5 §§2, 6, 8	Not reducible to subjective doubt or probability deficit.
Claim-strength	Strength earned by a claim insofar as its relation-preserving path survives the relevant audit burden.	3c-8 | Output dimension	Officiality, precision, repetition, or action do not automatically strengthen it.
Reliance-strength	Strength with which a locus may rely on a claim, carrier, or closure process for its closure demand.	3c-8 | Output dimension	May be lower or differently conditioned than claim-strength.
Reliance limit	Boundary beyond which a trusted relation/carrier/closure process no longer satisfies the relying locus's closure demand.	3c-8 | Reliance control	Must remain recoverable where reliance is load-bearing.
Conditional audit path	Relation-specific extension activated only when a claim engages a specialised architecture such as Power or Responsibility.	3c-8 | Method	Does not make CIF, CE, or Responsibility Provenance universal fields.

Term	Controlled definition	Canonical home / type	Relationship and guardrail
Method-compliance is not closure	Rule that completion, conformity, certification, or declared method-use cannot substitute for demonstrated relation-preservation.	3c-8 | Meta-guardrail	The method itself is a Soft Horizon and remains corrigible.
Action under residual	Permitted action where threshold and safeguards justify continuation before stronger closure.	3a-2/3c-8 | Action output	Action does not erase or retroactively settle residual.

2. Cross-Part inherited terms

Inherited term family	Upstream home	Part 3 use rule
NTC, BD, IPL, DTS	Part 1	Use for causal/localisation/thermodynamic burdens only; do not import the Part 1 life-attribution ladder into Part 3.
RRP, OP, OA, OR, RAS, RSD, signal	Part 2a	Use as inherited informational access architecture; Part 3 horizons and audit do not redefine these primitives.
Knowledge, Intelligence, Observation	Part 2b	Use retained/applied/registered distinction statuses as inherited functions; do not use Part 3 Power/Responsibility/Trust to rewrite their thresholds.
Abstraction, perspective, scale, observation envelope	Part 2b	Use to index finite access and audit scope; Part 3 residual and horizon terms do not replace observation-program diagnostics.

3. Release checklist

- Every controlled Part 3 term has an owning canonical paper.
- Section 3a terms are not retrospectively defined through Section 3b/3c vocabulary.
- CIF and CE remain Power-specific unless a later claim explicitly engages that architecture.
- Responsibility Provenance and Responsibility Warrant remain distinct.
- Trust carrier remains distinct from trust ground and from Responsibility Provenance.
- Formalisation structures remain technical carriers rather than epistemic certificates.
- Epistemic Authority and Structural Authority retain their canonical home in 3b-5 §8. Custodial and operational authority remain downstream governance applications in 3c-7 §6.
- The Epistemic Method integrates but does not erase local discriminators.